

20 DEC 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

Subject: Meeting Between DCI and Administrator of GSA to Discuss Protective Services and Building Maintenance and Operation

- References: (1) Ltr for Administrator of GSA fm DCI dtd 29 Nov 83, Same Subject.
- (2) Briefing Paper dtd 12 Dec 83 by Stanley C. Langfeld, Deputy Director, Office of Program Control, GSA, Subject: Major Issues Between GSA and CIA.

1. On 16 December 1983, Mr. William Casey, Director of Central Intelligence (DCI), and Mr. Gerald Carmen, Administrator of the General Services Administration (GSA), met to discuss problems in the areas of GSA provided protective services and building maintenance and operation. The DCI had specifically expressed his dissatisfaction with certain GSA provided services as specified in Reference (1), attached, and the Administrator of GSA was responding to those concerns. Aides attending the meeting included:

Harvey Prior -	Assistant Commissioner for Federal Protective Services, Public Building Services
Ira Jekowski -	Executive Director, Public Building Services
Les Mitchell -	Acting Commissioner of Public Building Services
Stanley Langfeld -	Deputy Director, Office of Program Control
Harry Fitzwater -	Deputy Director for Administration, CIA
James McDonald -	Associate Deputy Director for Administration, CIA
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 1.2em; display: inline-block;"></div> -	Director of Security, CIA
Daniel King -	Director of Logistics, CIA
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 1.2em; display: inline-block;"></div>	Chief, Real Estate and Construction Division, OL, CIA
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 1.2em; display: inline-block;"></div>	Associate General Counsel for Logistics & Procurement Law Division, OGC, CIA

STAT

STAT

2. Reference (2), attached, lists each of the issues raised by the DCI in Reference (1) and the alternatives considered by GSA in addressing the DCI's concerns. Each issue was discussed in turn:

a. Protective Services: The DCI had requested that all Federal Protective Officer positions be transferred to the Agency over a three year period and that the Agency henceforth handle the recruitment and management of all persons providing protective services to Agency facilities. The DCI's request was reflected in the first of three alternatives considered by GSA and was accepted by both the DCI and Administrator. Hence, no problem.

b. SLUC: The DCI had requested that all SLUC charges for this building be waived based on assurances to that effect by the former GSA Regional Administrator and the reality that CIA is in fact maintaining and operating this building by direct contract with a commercial firm. The waiving of SLUC was indeed one of three alternatives considered by GSA but their specific recommendation was the first alternative given in Reference (2) which provides only that GSA deduct the estimated cost of operating the building from the SLUC cost with the remainder going into the Federal Building Fund (FBF). CIA would, of course, pay not only this FBF contribution but also the actual cost of maintaining and operating the building which currently runs approximately twice the GSA estimated amount. The GSA offer is the same as that offered to and rejected by the Office of Logistics several months ago. Because of the linkage between this issue and the maintenance and operation of the Headquarters Building and Powerhouse, the DDA asked Mr. Carmen to discuss these issues before a decision was made (in fact, a subsequent decision was not made because the latter issue remained unresolved).

STAT

c. and d. Headquarters Powerhouse and Headquarters Building Maintenance and Operation: The DCI had suggested that since these buildings were not under GSA ownership or control but were in fact merely maintained and operated by GSA as an agent of CIA, their maintenance and operation should be returned to CIA. GSA considered three alternatives, all of which assumed GSA ownership and control of the CIA's Headquarters compound. The specific alternative recommended by GSA was the first which provided for the transfer of funds, personnel, records, and property to CIA with authority to perform such work up to \$100,000 without prior GSA approval. Mr. King specifically

objected to this proposal because it recognized and legitimized GSA control over the Headquarters compound and did not provide adequate funds to perform the work. With the [redacted] proposal, CIA would still pay into the FBF and would only receive SLUC funds in the amount estimated by GSA to be necessary to operate the building--an amount approximately one-third of what CIA believes it would actually take. (It should also be noted that others at the meeting took a more generous interpretation of the GSA proposal and concluded that it might not necessarily involve actual control of the compound. A meeting has been scheduled with Mr. Jekowski and Mr. Whitlock, the Deputy Regional Administrator, on 21 December to obtain further clarification.)

STAT

3. While agreement was reached with GSA on the issue of protective services, no agreement was reached on [redacted] or on the maintenance of operation of the Powerhouse or Headquarters Building. A key issue, acknowledged by Mr. Carmen, was that of GSA control of the facilities which he suggested was a legal issue to be resolved at the OMB level (subsequent to the meeting the General Counsel representative present suggested the White House might provide a more sympathetic forum). While Mr. Carmen's other proposals were not accepted, they were left open for further discussion. It was agreed that Mr. Jekowski and Mr. King would meet to discuss specifically the cost implications of the proposals the week of 19 December.

STAT

Daniel C. King

Attachments:
References (1) and (2)